



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/527,424	03/17/2000		Rolf Kohler	10191/1333	4178	
26646	7590	03/05/2003				
KENYON & KENYON				EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				MCLEAN-MAYO	MCLEAN-MAYO, KIMBERLY N	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2187		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

W

•			
(Supplemental)	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/527,424	KOHLER ET AL.	,
Advisory Addion	Examiner	Art Unit	
'\	Kimberly N. McLean-Mayo	2187	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 26 December 2002 FAILS TO PLATherefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiple ch	
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WATOR.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WATOR.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fort e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 C	ing date of the final rejection. IHE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extensio	ın
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the O timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	y originally set in the final Office action; or	'n
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 Cl			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: S		sidered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims with the control of the control o	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or l would be rejected is provided be	o)⊠ will be entered and an low or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	3 :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-32</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	\bigcirc		
	Danbalu N	When Mayo	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	lyisory Action	Part of Paper No. 12	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented are not persuasive. The terms correct and erasing are standard terms and thus can be given a standard/general meaning. Thus, the interpretation of an approved area to erase or program, wherein approved area means the area is approved as an accurate location for erasing is proper.

Additionally, the Examiner disagrees with the assertions provided by the Applicant with respect to the PGM bit. The PGM bit is altered when the PGM bit is set subsequent to the PGM bit being not set.

Regarding Applicant's argument regarding claims 7-11 and 19-23, Lee does disclose an identifier identifying a correct programming and erasing and thus the rejection(s) made with Lee and Yousuke do render claims 7-11 and 19-23 obvious under 35 USC 103 (a).

Continuation of 10. Other: The amendment presented overcomes the 35 USC 112 rejection. However, the 35 USC 103 (a) rejection remains..